

# Review of Anomaly Detection of Time Series with Smoothness-Inducing Sequential Variational Auto-Encoder

Shihao Zhang

December 2023

## 1 Key concepts

### 1.1 Time Series anomaly detection

Matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times T}$ , where  $M$  correlated streams with  $T$  time steps for each stream.

- Subsequence or whole sequence level:  $\mathbf{X}_{m,t_1:t_2}$ .
- Point Level:  $x_{m,t}$ .

Anomaly detection recovers "normal" patterns in the presence of anomalies. Then anomalies can be detected via a certain criterion by comparison of the reconstructed data and the raw data.

### 1.2 AE, VAE, SVAE, SISVAE

1. AE  $\rightarrow$  VAE: VAE maps input data to distributions instead of fixed vectors of AE. (Suitable for generating new data points and modelling uncertainty).
2. VAE  $\rightarrow$  SVAE: SVAE incorporate the temporal structure to handle the time series data. (RNN)
3. SVAE  $\rightarrow$  SISVAE: SISVAE induce smoothness prior (regularizer) to the generative model to account for the biases caused by anomalies.

### 1.3 Main Contributions

The article focuses on unsupervised point level anomaly detection for multidimensional correlated time series by proposing SISVAE.

1. Smoothness prior (regularizer): incorporate the smoothness prior to the learning of a deep generative model.

2. Liberate the constant noise assumption: parameterize mean and variance of the distributions individually for each time-stamp.
3. Good performance both on synthetic data and real-world data: use reconstruction probability and reconstruction error as decision criteria.

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Problem Formulation

The goal is to recover the true signal  $f(t)$  and calculate the anomaly score matrix  $A$ , then compare the anomaly score with the threshold to get anomaly indication matrix  $I$  from  $x_{1:T}$ .

### 2.2 Deep Generative Models and SGVB

$$\tilde{L} = -D_{KL}(q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})||p_\theta(\mathbf{z})) + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L (\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^l))$$

, where  $\mathbf{z}^l = g_\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^l, \mathbf{x})$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^l \sim p(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ ,  $\theta$  is generative model parameters, and  $\phi$  is inference model parameters.

### 2.3 SVAE

1. Inference Model: Approximated posterior:  $\mathbf{z}_t \sim q(\mathbf{z}_t|\mathbf{x}_t) = N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{z,t}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z,t}^2))$ , where  $[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{z,t}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z,t}] = \varphi_\phi^{enc}(\varphi_\theta^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{h}_{t-1})$
2. Generative Model:
  - Data reconstruction:  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sim p(\hat{\mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{z}_t) = N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x,t}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x,t}^2))$ , where  $[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{x,t}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x,t}] = \varphi_\theta^{dec}(\varphi_\theta^{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}_t), \mathbf{h}_{t-1})$  (Bernoulli for binary data, multivariate Gaussian for continuous data)
  - Prior of the latent state:  $\mathbf{z}_t \sim p_\theta(\mathbf{z}_t|\mathbf{x}_{<t}, \mathbf{z}_{<t}) = N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,t}, \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0,t}^2))$ , where  $[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,t}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0,t}] = \varphi_\theta^{prior}(\mathbf{h}_{t-1})$
  - Hidden states of RNN:  $\mathbf{h}_t = f_\theta(\varphi_\theta^{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}_t), \mathbf{h}_{t-1})$  (Gated Recurrent unit (GRU))
3. Reparameterization of  $\mathbf{z}$ :  $\mathbf{z}_t = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{z,t} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z,t} \odot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(0, \mathbf{I})$

### 2.4 Smoothness prior

It assumes that the probability density function over time would vary smoothly over time. Among all possible reconstructed series, the true signal should have a smooth transition of distribution.

$$-\lambda D_{KL}(p_\theta(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}|\mathbf{z}_{\leq t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{<t-1})||p_\theta(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t|\mathbf{z}_{\leq t}, \mathbf{x}_{<t}))$$

## 2.5 Training

Divide the long time series into short chunks, using sliding windows, which slide over multiple time series synchronously.

## 3 Anomaly Scores

Given an input  $\{\mathbf{x}_t\}_{1:W}$ , the model encode it into  $\mathbf{z}_{1:W}$ , then decode to  $\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}\}_{1:W}$ .

### 3.1 Reconstruction error

$$e_{m,t} = ||x_{m,t} - \mu_{m,t}||$$

, where  $e_{m,t}$  is anomaly score for observation  $x_{m,t}$ .  $\mu_{m,t}$  is the reconstructed mean.

### 3.2 Reconstruction probability

$$-\frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \log(p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_t^{(l)}))$$

## 4 Performance

Imbalanced number of anomaly data and normal data. AUPRC is more discriminate than AUROC.

### 4.1 Synthetic Data

- $100 \times 200$  sequence from a multioutput Gaussian process.
- Sequences are correlated through a random sampled positive definite coregonalization matrix.
- Random nonstationary Gaussian noise for all data point.
- Bernoulli for different fractions of anomalies.
- Poisson noise for anomalies with intensity  $\lambda_t$  of each anomaly is proportional to the amplitude of inserted place  $x_t$ .
- Plus and minus sign is sampled from Bernoulli with  $p = 0.5$ .

1. Effects of Anomaly Proportion
2. Effects of Regularization Hyper-parameter
3. Convergence Study

## 4.2 Real-World Data

- Yahoo's S5 Webscope Data
  - Open  $\mu PMU$  Power Network Data
1. Threshold-Based Anomaly Detection
  2. Model Characteristics
  3. Ranking-Based Anomaly Detection
  4. Tradeoff Between Recall and Precision

## 4.3 Case Study

1. False Alarms on Normal Time Series
2. Detection of Point-Level Anomalies
3. Detection of Subsequence Level Anomalies

## 4.4 Comparision with Donut

Simulation Study on Univariate Time Series

## 5 Useful tricks

- Sliding windows.
- Changing multidimensional data to univariate data.
- precision@k
- Reparameterization Trick

## 6 Questions

- SGVB and AEVB
- SMC
- precision, recall, f1-score, accuracy
- Reparameterization Trick