

Solutions to MATH3091 problem sheet 3

25 Feb 2022

1. See the proof in the lecture notes (Note this proof is not examinable).
2. (a) Since $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is known, \mathcal{G} is known. In Lecture 7-8, as a result of

$$\mathbf{Y}|\boldsymbol{\gamma} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma} \sim N(0, \mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}),$$

we derived the following conditional and marginal densities:

$$f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}; \boldsymbol{\beta}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right\}$$

and

$$f(\boldsymbol{\gamma}; \boldsymbol{\beta}) = (2\pi)^{-mq/2} |\mathcal{G}|^{-1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \mathcal{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right)$$

The joint likelihood ratio function is

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}; \boldsymbol{\beta}) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right\} \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \mathcal{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right)$$

Denote the joint likelihood as a function of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, i.e.,

$$L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}; \boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

Therefore we have the log-likelihood

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \mathcal{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} + C, \quad (1)$$

where C is some constant term independent of \mathbf{y} and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$.

- (b) We need to prove that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ maximises $\ell(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$. As a result, it is equivalent to minimize

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \mathcal{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

Using the formula for vector derivatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} &= -\frac{2}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \\ \frac{\partial Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} &= -\frac{2}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\gamma}) + 2 \mathcal{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the system of equations to solve $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{U} \hat{\gamma} = 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} \hat{\gamma}) + \mathcal{G}^{-1} \hat{\gamma} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- (c) To show $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ are root of the equation system (2), we plug in the value of these two estimators, i.e.,

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \quad \hat{\gamma} = \mathcal{G} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta})$$

For the first equation in (2), we have the left hand side after plug-in $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ equals to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{U} \hat{\gamma} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{U} \mathcal{G} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}) - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{V} - \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}) - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}) \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y} - \sigma^2 \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For the second equation in (2), we have the left hand side after plug-in $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ equals to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (-\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} \hat{\gamma}) + \mathcal{G}^{-1} \hat{\gamma} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (-\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} \mathcal{G} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta})) + \mathcal{G}^{-1} \mathcal{G} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \{-\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{U}^T (\mathbf{V} - \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta})\} + \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}) \\ &= -\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}) + \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ are root of (2).

3. From the lecture notes, it is straightforward to obtain $\mathbf{C} \hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_1$, $\mathbf{c} = 0$ and $r = 1$. Moreover $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T$ is the covariance matrix of $\mathbf{C} \hat{\beta}$, which equals to $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ here.

As a result

$$W = \hat{\beta}_1^2 / \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = 2.37$$

For a size $\alpha = 0.05$ test, we should reject H_0 if $W > \chi_{1,0.95}^2$, where $\chi_{1,0.95}^2$ is the 95% point of the χ_1^2 distribution, which equals to 3.841459 as calculated by R, or 3.84, to two decimal places. So we do not reject H_0 .